

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/801,561	AOYAMA, YOSHIMASA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeremy S. Cerullo	2112	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Jeremy S. Cerullo.

 (3) Ron Ward.

 (2) Douglas Henderson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 April 2006
Time: 3:50 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Mr. Henderson on the morning of April 11 to verify that the application had been abandoned. Mr. Henderson informed the examiner that someone would be contacting the examiner later with an answer. Mr. Ward later contacted the examiner and confirmed that the application had been abandoned..